

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/722,931	11/25/2003	Robert Hartmann	64646.2	64646.2 9623	
24347	7590 12/11/2006		EXAMINER		
HUNTON & WILLIAMS LLP			LAU, HOI CHING		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT 1601 BRYAN STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ENERGY PLAZA - 30TH FLOOR			2612		
DALLAS, TX 75201			DATE MAILED: 12/11/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/722,931	HARTMANN ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Hoi C. Lau	2612

		Hoi C. Lau		2612	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover	sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE RE	PLY FILED 20 November 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS			•	:
1. ⊠ Th thi · pla a f	e reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or sapplication, applicant must timely file one of the followaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance periods:	n the same day as wing replies: (1) ar otice of Appeal (wit	filing a Notice of a amendment, affi h appeal fee) in c	Appeal. To avoid aba davit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) 🗌 b) 🛚	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	Advisory Action, or (2 later than SIX MONT (b). ONLY CHECK B) the date set forth HS from the mailing	g date of the final reject	ion.
have bee under 37 set forth i may redu	ns of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date n filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the n (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late ce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b): OF APPEAL	tension and the correshortened statutory per than three months a	esponding amount e period for reply original	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Off	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) a
fili	e Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp ng the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed MENTS	ension thereof (37	CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of the appeal. Since
3.	he proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	onsideration and/or ow); tter form for appea corresponding nur	search (see NO	TE below); ducing or simplifying	
5.	the amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 pplicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) ewly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a n-allowable claim(s).	21. See attached l	•		
7. For hoo The CI CI CI CI	or purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) we the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: aim(s) allowed: <u>None.</u> aim(s) objected to: <u>None.</u> aim(s) rejected: <u>1-12 and 23.</u> aim(s) withdrawn from consideration: VIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			I be entered and an	explanation of
8. 🔲 Th	ne affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bucause applicant failed to provide a showing of good and as not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the nd sufficient reasor	date of filing a News	otice of Appeal will <u>ne</u> it or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and
en sh	e affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing tered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to owing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessal he affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	overcome <u>all</u> reject ry and was not ear	tions under appealier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
11. 🛛 T	ST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER The request for reconsideration has been considered builded by the continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place	the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. 🔲 N	lote the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Pape	er No(s)		

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments have been fully considered by they are not persuasive. The claims are substantially those previously filed and the rejection of record is not overcome. See Final Rejection. In addition, Hall effect sensor detect changes in an electric field due to changes in capacitance or inductance is shown by the follwing U.S. patent: U.S. 2006/0030794, U.S. 5,924,847, U.S. 5,524,831.

JEFRERY HOFSASS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600